Psychological Impact of Repair Surgery in Cleft Lip and Palate
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Abstract

Many of the psychological dimensions of cleft lip and palate for the individual, both in childhood and adulthood, have been researched extensively. However, little specific research has been conducted on the psychological impact of one of the most significant aspects of the condition, that of repair surgery. This article presents the current research in this area, focusing on the potential impact repair surgery has on attachment, coping and resilience, along with the implications for future research.
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Introduction

Clefts of the lip and/or palate (CLP) are the most common congenital craniofacial anomaly, affecting around 1.7 per 1,000 live births worldwide [1] with around 1 in 700 affected babies born in the UK [2].

Clefts of the lip and palate have been researched for many years, but more recently the psychological impact of the condition has been investigated. In preparation for a research study on the experiences of mothers during the time of surgical repair of their babies’ CLP, a thorough literature search was conducted using Swetwise, Scopus, Web of Science and OvidSP (which included Medline, EMBASE and PsychInfo). The following search terms were used: “Cleft lip and palate”, “lip repair”, “palate repair” with combinations of “mothers”, “parents”, “surgery”, “attachment”, “Psychological”, “adjustment”, “visual difference”, “visible difference”, “facial disfigurement” and “coping”.

Generally the psychosocial research has focussed on the impact of living with CLP for the individual with general well-being and quality of life being particular areas of study [3-7]. Research into the impact of diagnosis on parents has highlighted surgery as a specific concern but interestingly whilst surgery is one of the most significant stages within the cleft lip and palate journey, there has been little research in this area.

Surgery is a major factor influencing quality of life in the early stages of the CLP journey [8]. In a large early study where 175 sets of parents were interviewed, both mothers and fathers placed repair of the cleft as an important concern on discovering the diagnosis [9]. Surgery was seen as the solution to the cleft, as parents showed prospective feelings of ‘everything being well’ following surgery. However as well as being a solution, surgery is also a source of significant concern for parents [10], a concern which becomes more important as surgery gets closer. Worries related to the procedure have included timing (of the procedure, duration and recovery), side effects, the care involved, whether additional tissue was required for the repair, techniques used, outcomes of surgery and pain. In a retrospective study focusing on parental experience, parents’ anxieties around surgery included the anaesthetic, risks of infection, the possibility that surgery would not be successful and what their child would look like following the repair [11]. Other aspects of care by the team have also been identified as important to parents including communication with professionals, empathy, postsurgical care and discharge management; with the preparation for surgery, as well as the discharge, being more significant than the time of the surgery itself [8].

Appearance in CLP

A difference in appearance is perhaps the most obvious consequence of having a CLP with many families believing that surgery will make their child’s life better through the chang-
Coping and Adjustment

Elements of coping and adjustment have been investigated in order to best understand how a family adapts to having a child with CLP. Expectations of having a healthy baby need to be assimilated as well as an understanding of the various elements of CLP, such as surgery. Social support has been highlighted as being useful in the process of coping, as well as perceived support from professionals involved in the child’s care [11, 17]. Support from friends and family has been linked with lower distress, better adjustment and less negative family impact. This is thought to be due to social support providing greater feelings of belonging, self-esteem, a positive outlook and a greater sense of value. In terms of coping strategies, use of approach coping (seeking support, problem solving, logical analysis and positive appraisal) was associated with more positive adjustment compared to avoidant coping [17]. Social support was also identified as a coping strategy used by parents [18], as well as intensification of partnership, self-respect and fulfilment, with a positive approach being particularly important. The significance of support from other parents has also been highlighted [11, 15].

Riski [15] identified two important considerations in terms of parental coping. Firstly, needs of parents tend to be greater at times of transition (such as surgery) and therefore, support and coping should be emphasised at these times. Secondly, parents can be highly diverse in their experience and needs, as well as their abilities to cope and therefore, a standardised approach to supporting families may not be sufficient or appropriate.

The impact of parental expectations on the perception of the baby has been considered as a possible framework to understand parental adaptation. Beaumont [14] used personal construct theory (which is based on the making and testing of hypotheses in order to understand the world) to understand the reactions to diagnosis. The results were suggestive of a process similar to the stages observed in bereavement, as a way of adjusting to the unexpected nature of CLP.

Resilience

Resilience, defined as ‘the ability to withstand and rebound from crisis and adversity’ [19] is a growing area of research. This concept has been studied from the perspective of the individual as well as from the family unit. Family resilience is not thought of as merely the use of family members as a resource: Instead, adverse events have an impact on the whole family and therefore can affect the family’s functioning, which in turn can affect elements which aid resilience. Resilience factors within a family can include having a positive outlook, spirituality, flexibility, problem solving, cohesion, communication and shared recreation [19-20]. Differences in resilience may be apparent for a short term crisis compared to one which has multiple stressors and is more persistent. Long term medical conditions present unique challenges to a family. The Family-Systems-Illness Model [21-22] provides a framework for those who are facing a chronic illness. It focuses on the psychosocial type of illness (awareness of its onset, course, outcome, incapacitation and level of uncertainty), the time phases of the illness and family system variables (such as family scripts about illness and coping, normalisation of challenges, meaning made from the illness, beliefs about the cause of illness and so on). It is thought that this model offers a collaborative practice between professionals and families to aid coping and adaptation. This model has been applied to a number of illnesses, including families facing genetic conditions and cancer [23-24] and may have relevance within CLP, at significant times within the treatment journey, such as the surgery. Resilience has begun to be studied within CLP, but initial studies have been focussed on the resilience of the individuals born with CLP themselves [5, 25] rather than their families.

Attachment

Change in appearance may not only have an impact on a family’s coping and resilience but may also affect the bonding relationship between parent and child. Early attachment is a reciprocal process, relying on the reactions between a primary care giver and the baby. It occurs through a mother’s attunement to her baby, including aspects such as: movement, touch, facial expression and vocalisations. The interaction process in attachment is activated by various conditions such as: stress, fear, unfamiliarity and hunger [26-27]. This interaction aids the baby’s ability to recognise and self-regulate their emotions as well as other bodily sensations such as hunger and thirst. If the baby’s ability to form facial expressions (such as smiling) is affected, as it might be with a cleft lip and palate, then the relationship may be affected [28-29].

It is thought that childhood attachment tends to become apparent at around six months of age [28] and therefore experiences around this time could be significant. In terms of
the CLP journey then, issues such as surgical repair could be disruptive.

A study by Clements and Barnett [30] looked at attachment in a variety of birth defects including CLP. Although it was found that CLP could interfere with emotional and verbal responsiveness, parental care-giving behaviours were more likely to predict attachment styles than the medical condition concerned. Parenting quality also seemed to be better for those children with more severe facial disfigurements. Most evidence seems to suggest that there are no significant differences in attachment between those with CLP and control groups [27, 31-34] although there are some contrasting findings in which 12 month olds born with cleft palate (CP) had lower attachment security; however the differences then disappear by two years of age [33]. Timing of repair may be significant with one study indicating that those with a standard repair (between three and four months of age) show worse mental development scores at 18 months than those with a neonatal repair [35]. This was thought to be linked to mother-infant interactions at two months of age and emphasises the importance of early interaction and potentially how the repair of CLP may have an impact. Further research by Hentges et al [36] found that this impact on mental development persists when the children are 7 years old. They concluded that the difference in mother-child interactions was the mediating factor between the cleft being repaired at 3 - 4 months and lowered IQ scores.

Implications for Further Research

This literature shows that repair surgery in CLP should not be seen as merely a treatment in the CLP journey but as a process that is a significant concern for parents; the results of surgery and the potentially significant changes in appearance can in turn affect parental coping, resilience and attachment. However, at present, this impact is, at best, speculation as research has not focused on the psychosocial aspects of repair surgery on the family unit surrounding the individual with CLP. Such research could add to the resilience and adjustment literature as well as providing more practical applications to the perioperative care of CLP repair surgery and care relating to the parents within the hospital setting.
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